Mission: The mission of the OSB is to serve justice by promoting respect for the rule of law, improving the quality of legal services, and increasing access to justice.

Open Agenda

1. Call to Order and Finalization of Agenda (Ms. Nordyke)

2. Appellate Screening Special Committee Recommendation

3. BOG Nominating Committee

Closed Sessions – CLOSED Agenda

1. Executive Session (pursuant to ORS 192.660(1)(f) and (h)) – General Counsel
OREGON STATE BAR
Board of Governors Memorandum

Meeting Date: November 16, 2018
Memo Date: October 25, 2018
From: Per Ramfjord, Chair Appellate Screening Committee
Re: Appellate Screening Supreme Court Vacancy Highly Qualified Candidates

Action Items

The Appellate Screening Committee recommends the board consider and approve the list of “Highly Qualified” candidates for the Oregon Supreme Court vacancy. The letter with the list of “Highly Qualified” applicants will be submitted to the Governor’s office by the November 2nd deadline.

Please see below link for the list of applicants for the Supreme Court vacancy:

https://www.osbar.org/judicial/judicialvacancy.html#OSC
October 25, 2018

Governor Kate Brown
State Capitol Building
900 Court St. NE, Suite 254
Salem, OR 97301

Dear Governor Brown:

The Oregon State Bar’s Appellate Screening Committee has completed its review of the candidates who have applied for appointment to the Oregon Supreme Court and who agreed to disclose their application materials to the OSB. Pursuant to OSB Bylaws, the Committee has conducted an in-depth review of each application and candidate, including in-person interviews of all candidates who opted to participate in the process.

The Committee’s review process is intended to provide you with relevant, reliable, and descriptive information to help inform your appointment decision. As instructed by OSB Bylaws, our recommendation of candidates as “highly qualified” is based on “the statutory requirements of the position, as well as information obtained in the review process, and the following criteria: integrity, legal knowledge and ability, professional experience, cultural competency, judicial temperament, diligence, health, financial responsibility, and public service.” A “highly qualified” recommendation is intended to be objective, and the Committee’s decision not to identify any specific candidate as “highly qualified” should not be viewed as a finding that the person is unqualified. A “highly qualified” recommendation is intended to reflect the candidate’s overall ability to serve on the court.

The Board of Governors is pleased that members from around the state, including a public member, serve on the Appellate Screening Committee. We also deeply appreciate the assistance and leadership of your counsel and your office during this process.

Pursuant to OSB Bylaw 2.703, the Oregon State Bar Board of Governors* has approved the following list of candidates deemed “highly qualified” for appointment to the Oregon Supreme Court:
The Board of Governors appreciates that there were many qualified candidates for the positions and that the review process presented a challenging task. According to OSB Bylaw 2.700, the list of the “highly qualified” candidates will be posted on the OSB webpage. Also pursuant to OSB Bylaws, we will gladly respond to any requests from your office as to whether certain other candidates meet a “qualified” standard.

Sincerely,

Vanessa A. Nordyke
OSB President

Per Ramfjord
OSB Board of Governors
Appellate Screening Committee Chair

cc: Misha Isaak, General Counsel, Office of the Governor

*Please note that BOG member Liani Reeves has abstained from all discussion and votes related to the review of judicial candidates.
OREGON STATE BAR
Board of Governors Agenda

Meeting Date: November 2, 2018
From: Nominating Committee
Re: Selection of 2019 President-elect

Action Recommended

The Nominating Committee recommends that the Board waive the one-meeting notice requirement and amend OSB Bylaw Subsection 2.201 as set forth below.

Background

Nominating Committee Meetings on 10/17 and 10/18

The Nominating Committee met on October 17 to discuss the two candidates for 2019 president-elect, Tom Peachey and Liani Reeves. Pursuant to OSB Bylaw 2.201, members of the committee took a vote on whom to select to present to the Board of Governors as its sole candidate. It was a tie vote.

Because the bylaws do not provide what to do in the event of a tie vote, the Nominating Committee met again on October 18 to discuss how to proceed. The members unanimously voted to recommend to the BOG that it waive the one-meeting notice requirement and amend OSB Bylaw 2.201, to allow a board vote in the instance of a tie vote by the Nominating Committee.

Nominating Committee Meeting on October 29, 2018

After the October 18 meeting, one of the Nominating Committee members indicated that she wanted to change her vote. The Committee met again on October 29 to discuss whether to take steps to accommodate that request.

The Committee considered two options. The first was to do nothing further. The result would be that the Committee’s original proposed amendment—voted on at its October 18 meeting—would be presented to the BOG at its special meeting on November 2, and an election by the full board would take place on November 17.

The second option considered was to propose to the BOG a bylaw amendment that would shift timelines to allow the Nominating Committee to present to the board a sole candidate for President-elect on November 2, as well as resolve the issue of what to do in the event of a tie. Under the bylaws as currently written, the deadline for the Nominating Committee to present its candidate to the board was October 18; that deadline has already passed. The bylaw amendment considered as part of the second option would shorten the time frame within which the Nominating Committee must announce its candidate for President-elect. Adoption of this option would allow the Nominating Committee to present a sole candidate for election on November 2, to be considered by the full board at its meeting on November 17.
At its meeting on October 29, the Nominating Committee decided to propose to the BOG the second option. The committee members unanimously voted to recommend to the BOG that it waive the one-meeting notice requirement and amend OSB Bylaw 2.201 as follows:

Subsection 2.201

Election (a) Time of Election

The President and President-elect are elected at the last regularly scheduled board meeting of the calendar year. The only candidate for President is the President-elect.

(b) President-Elect

Any lawyer member of the second-year class may be a candidate for the office of President-elect by notifying the Chief Executive Officer by September 1. Each candidate must submit with said notice a statement outlining the candidate’s qualifications, reasons for seeking the position, and vision for the bar. A Nominating Committee, consisting of the fourth-year class and the current President-elect, will interview each candidate and will meet with the remaining board members to discuss their view about each candidate’s respective qualifications. The Nominating Committee will announce its candidate for President-elect at least 30 15 days prior to the last regularly scheduled board meeting of the calendar year. The Nominating Committee’s selection will be the sole candidate for President-elect unless at least six members nominate another candidate by written petition delivered to the Chief Executive Officer not less than 15 10 days prior to the last regularly scheduled board meeting of the calendar year. If the Nominating Committee is unable to select a sole candidate for President-elect, the board will elect a President-elect at its last regularly scheduled board meeting of the calendar year, pursuant to Subsection 2.201(c).

(c) Voting

If there is only one candidate for an office, the candidate is deemed elected without a formal vote. When there are two nominees for President-elect, the candidate receiving the most votes will be elected. If there are three nominees for President-elect and no candidate receives more than 50 percent of the votes on the first vote, the candidate receiving the fewest votes is eliminated and another vote will be taken. Only board members present at the meeting may vote.

This second proposed amendment is the one the Nominating Committee now presents to the Board for approval. On October 29, the Committee also voted on whom to present to the board as its candidate for President-elect. If the Board votes to waive the one-meeting notice requirement and amend the bylaws as set forth above, the Committee is prepared to announce its candidate for 2019 President-elect.