Information Relating to the Representation of a Client: Third-Party Electronic Storage of Client Materials

Facts:

Law Firm contracts with third-party vendor to store client files and documents online on remote server so that Lawyer and/or Client could access the documents over the Internet from any remote location.

Question:

May Lawyer do so?

Conclusion:

Yes, qualified.

Discussion:

With certain limited exceptions, the Oregon Rules of Professional Conduct require a lawyer to keep client information confidential. See Oregon RPC 1.6.¹ In addition, Oregon RPC 5.3 provides:

---

¹ Oregon RPC 1.6 provides:

(a) A lawyer shall not reveal information relating to the representation of a client unless the client gives informed consent, the disclosure is impliedly authorized in order to carry out the representation or the disclosure is permitted by paragraph (b).

(b) A lawyer may reveal information relating to the representation of a client to the extent the lawyer reasonably believes necessary:

(1) to disclose the intention of the lawyer’s client to commit a crime and the information necessary to prevent the crime;

(2) to prevent reasonably certain death or substantial bodily harm;

(3) to secure legal advice about the lawyer’s compliance with these Rules;
With respect to a nonlawyer employed or retained, supervised or directed by a lawyer:

(4) to establish a claim or defense on behalf of the lawyer in a controversy between the lawyer and the client, to establish a defense to a criminal charge or civil claim against the lawyer based upon conduct in which the client was involved, or to respond to allegations in any proceeding concerning the lawyer’s representation of the client;

(5) to comply with other law, court order, or as permitted by these Rules; or

(6) in connection with the sale of a law practice under Rule 1.17 or to detect and resolve conflicts of interest arising from the lawyer’s change of employment or from changes in the composition or ownership of a firm. In those circumstances, a lawyer may disclose with respect to each affected client the client’s identity, the identities of any adverse parties, the nature and extent of the legal services involved, and fee and payment information, but only if the information revealed would not compromise the attorney-client privilege or otherwise prejudice any of the clients. The lawyer or lawyers receiving the information shall have the same responsibilities as the disclosing lawyer to preserve the information regardless of the outcome of the contemplated transaction.

(7) to comply with the terms of a diversion agreement, probation, conditional reinstatement or conditional admission pursuant to BR 2.10, BR 6.2, BR 8.7 or Rule for Admission Rule 6.15. A lawyer serving as a monitor of another lawyer on diversion, probation, conditional reinstatement or conditional admission shall have the same responsibilities as the monitored lawyer to preserve information relating to the representation of the monitored lawyer’s clients, except to the extent reasonably necessary to carry out the monitoring lawyer’s responsibilities under the terms of the diversion, probation, conditional reinstatement or conditional admission and in any proceeding relating thereto.

(c) A lawyer shall make reasonable efforts to prevent the inadvertent or unauthorized disclosure of, or unauthorized access to, information relating to the representation of a client.
(a) a lawyer having direct supervisory authority over the nonlawyer shall make reasonable efforts to ensure that the person’s conduct is compatible with the professional obligations of the lawyer; and

(b) except as provided by Rule 8.4(b), a lawyer shall be responsible for conduct of such a person that would be a violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct if engaged in by a nonlawyer if:

(1) the lawyer orders or, with the knowledge of the specific conduct, ratifies the conduct involved; or

(2) the lawyer is a partner or has comparable managerial authority in the law firm in which the person is employed, or has direct supervisory authority over the person, and knows of the conduct at a time when its consequences can be avoided or mitigated but fails to take reasonable remedial action.

Lawyer may store client materials on a third-party server as long as Lawyer complies with the duties of competence and confidentiality to reasonably keep the client’s information secure within a given situation. To do so, the lawyer must take reasonable steps to ensure that the storage company will reliably secure client data and keep information confidential. See Oregon RPC 1.6(c). Under certain circumstances, this may be satisfied through a third-party vendor’s compliance with industry standards.

---

2 Some call the factual scenario presented above “cloud computing.” See Richard Acello, *Get Your Head in the Cloud*, 96-Apr ABA Journal 28, 28–29 (April 2010) (providing that “cloud computing” is a “sophisticated form of remote electronic data storage on the Internet” and “[u]nlike traditional methods that maintain data on a computer or server at a law office or other place of business, data stored ‘in the cloud’ is kept on large servers located elsewhere and maintained by a vendor”).

3 In 2014, leaked documents indicated that several intelligence agencies had the capability of obtaining electronic data and monitoring electronic communications between, among others, attorneys and clients through highly sophisticated methods beyond the capabilities of the general public. Oregon RPC 1.6(c) would not require an attorney to protect a client’s data against this type of advanced interception, as it only requires an attorney to take reasonable steps to secure client data. Nevertheless, an attorney may want to take additional security precautions if he or she handles clients or matters that involve national security interests.
standards relating to confidentiality and security, provided that those industry standards meet the minimum requirements imposed on the Lawyer by the Oregon Rules of Professional Conduct. This may include, among other things, ensuring the service agreement requires the vendor to preserve the confidentiality and security of the materials. It may also require that vendor notify Lawyer of any nonauthorized third-party access to the materials. Lawyer should also investigate how the vendor backs up and stores its data and metadata to ensure compliance with the Lawyer’s duties.\textsuperscript{4}

Although the third-party vendor may have reasonable protective measures in place to safeguard the client materials, the reasonableness of the steps taken will be measured against the technology “available at the time to secure data against unintentional disclosure.”\textsuperscript{5} As technology advances, the third-party vendor’s protective measures may become less secure or obsolete over time.\textsuperscript{6} Accordingly, Lawyer may be required to

\textsuperscript{4} See OSB Formal Ethics Op No 2005-141 (rev 2015), which provides:

As long as Law Firm makes reasonable efforts to ensure that the recycling company’s conduct is compatible with Law Firm’s obligation to protect client information, the proposed conduct is permissible. Reasonable efforts include, at least, instructing the recycling company about Law Firm’s duties pursuant to Oregon RPC 1.6 and obtaining its agreement to treat all materials appropriately.

\textit{See also} OSB Formal Ethics Op No 2005-129 (rev 2014); OSB Formal Ethics Op No 2005-44.

\textsuperscript{5} See New Jersey Ethics Op No 701 (discussing electronic storage and access to files).

\textsuperscript{6} See Arizona Ethics Op No 09-04 (discussing confidentiality, maintaining client files, electronic storage, and the Internet).
reevaluate the protective measures used by the third-party vendor to safeguard the client materials.\(^7\)

**Approved by Board of Governors, April 2015.**

\(^7\) A lawyer’s obligation in the event of a breach of security of confidential materials is outside the scope of this opinion.

**COMMENT:** For additional information on this general topic and other related subjects, see *The Ethical Oregon Lawyer* § 6.2-1 (confidentiality), § 13.3-3 (employment of nonlawyers), § 16.4-5(c) (third-party electronic storage of client materials) (OSB Legal Pubs 2015); and *Restatement (Third) of the Law Governing Lawyers* §§ 59–60 (2000) (supplemented periodically).